Appendix H Proposed System Improvements – Backup Information for Cost Estimates

This page intentionally left blank.

San Luis NWR Freitas Unit - restore anabranches of Salt Slough

Estimated Material Quantity - excavated material

| System Ir | mprovement - 6 | 4 | | - | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Α | <u>References</u> - F&W memo - Review with | Dennis Wollir | ngton | | | | |
| В | <u>Assumptions</u> 5 side channe 30'-40' wide x clean 150' up | els 4' deep sedir side channel | nent | | | | |
| С | Excavation Q - calculate ea | uantities rthwork, assu | me uniform s | ection through | nout | | |
| | width Iower bench o excavation ar Iength | depth ea | 35 4 140 150 | ft ft sq ft ft | | | |
| | <u>Station</u> 0+00 | <u>length</u> (ft) 150 | <u>area</u> (sq ft) 140.00 | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) 140.00 | <u>volume</u> (cu ft) 21,000 | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) 778 | |
| | 1+50 | | 140.00 | r | Total [—] Quan ounded total | 778 cu 5 3,889 cu 4,000 cu | ı yd u yd |
| | | | | | | | |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement San Luis NWR Freitas Unit - restore anabranches of Salt Slough

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 64

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo
- Review with Dennis Wollington
- 5 side channels
- 30'-40' wide x 4' deep sediment
- clean 150' up side channel
- Minimal engineering costs, say \$10k
- Self mitigating, no Flood Board permit, say \$10k

| Item | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Price | Amount |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| 110. | Description | Quantity | oubio | Onit Frice | Amount |
| 1 | Excavate sediment from Salt Slough anabranches | 4,000 | yards | \$5.00 | \$20,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | | 50% Cor | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$10,000 |
| | | ٦ | Total Constru | uction Costs | \$30,000 |
| Environmental Compliance | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | \$50,000 |

San Luis NWR West Bear Creek Unit

Restore wetland slough channel connectivity with the San Joaquin River to accommodate flood flows

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 65

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo

- Review with Dennis Wollington
- Bid prices for typical galvanized steel gate access walkway
- Bid prices for rip rap on canal bank
- Self mitigating project, Flood Board permitting required
- Engineering site evaluations, standard design, bidding

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | Remove existing pipe & flap gate, Furnish and Install (F&I) Canal gate | 4 | each | \$12,000 | \$48,000 |
| 2 | F&I Metal access walkway | 4 | each | \$12,000 | \$48,000 |
| 3 | F&I Rip rap slope protection | 4,000 | square feet | \$5 | \$20,000 |
| 4 | F&I 36" RCP culverts | 400 | linear feet | \$250 | \$100,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$216,000 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$108,000 |
| Total | | | | | |
| Permitting | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$354,000 |

Merced NWR Merced Unit

Enhance infrastructure to divert flood flows onto 1200 acres of existing wetlands and other refuge lands

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 66

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo

- Review with Dennis Wollington

- 2 existing pumps dewater refuge, discharge to Bypass channel

- Reconfigure with pipe & valves so pumps can be reversed to divert flood water to refuge

- Self mitigating project, Flood Board permitting required

- Engineering - site evaluations, design, bidding

| Item No | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| | Description | Quantity | 01110 | | / inount |
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Steel pipe & fittings | 300 | linear feet | \$200 | \$60,000 |
| 2 | F&I Discharge pipe valving (for reverse flows) | 8 | each | \$10,000 | \$80,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$140,000 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$70,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$210,000 |
| Permitting | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering | | | | | \$15,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$235,000 |

Merced NWR - Modify Water Intake Structures at Selected Refuge Units

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 67

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo

- Approximately 15 sites per review with Dennis Wollington

- Bid prices for canal gates

- Bid prices for typical galvanized steel gate access walkway

- Bid prices for rip rap on canal bank

- Self mitigating project, Flood Board permitting required

- Engineering - site evaluations, standard design, bidding

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | Remove existing flap gate, Furnish and Install (F&I) Canal gate | 15 | each | \$7,000 | \$105,000 |
| 2 | F&I Metal access walkway | 15 | each | \$12,000 | \$180,000 |
| 3 | F&I Rip rap slope protection | 15,000 | square feet | \$5 | \$75,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$360,000 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$180,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$540,000 |
| Permitting | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$580,000 |

Merced NWR Sno-Bird Unit - Construct diversions off Eastside Canal

Estimate of Cost

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo
- Review with Dennis Wollington
- 3' deep sediment removal
- Existing channel to be cleaned, say 30' bottom
- Replace weir boards and make minor concrete repairs to existing weir on Eastside Canal
- 1 Existing and 1 new culvert, each with new canal gates to drain refuge ditch back to Bear Creek
- Bid prices for canal gates
- Bid prices for typical galvanized steel gate access walkway
- New channel in Bear Creek, say 5' bottom x 5' deep
- New turnout structure in Eastside Canal at northwest corner of refuge
- Bid prices for canal gates
- Self mitigating project, Flood Board and Stevinson W.D. permitting required
- Engineering site evaluations, design, bidding

| Project | A | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Clean sediment up & downstream of existing weir structure | 220 | cubic yards | \$5 | \$1,100 |
| 2 | Replace weir boards, minor concrete repair of existing weir | | lump sum | | \$10,000 |
| 3 | Clean 1st section of existing channel downstream of weir | 1,000 | cubic yards | \$5 | \$5,000 |
| 4 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Canal gate on new and existing Bear Creek culvert inlets | 2 | each | \$12,000 | \$24,000 |
| 5 | F&I New 36" RCP culvert into Bear Creek | 80 | linear feet | \$250 | \$20,000 |
| 6 | F&I Metal access walkway | 2 | each | \$12,000 | \$24,000 |
| 7 | Excavate channel in Bear Creek from new culvert to existing pilot channel | 440 | cubic yards | \$5 | \$2,200 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$86,300 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$42,700 |
| | | | | Total | \$129,000 |
| | | | | Permitting | \$10,000 |
| | | | | Engineering | \$20,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$159,000 |

Merced NWR Sno-Bird Unit - Construct diversions off Eastside Canal

Estimate of Cost

| Project B | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Canal turnout structure | 10 | cubic yards | \$1,500 | \$15,000 |
| 2 | F&I 48" Canal gate | 1 | each | \$12,000 | \$12,000 |
| 3 | F&I 48" RGRCP culvert | 50 | linear feet | \$350 | \$17,500 |
| 4 | F&I Rip rap slope protection at outlet | 1,000 | square feet | \$5 | \$5,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$49,500 |
| | | 50% Coi | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$24,500 |
| | | | | Total | \$74,000 |
| Permitting | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$104,000 |

Total Cost of Projects A & B

Construction \$135,800 Contingencies & Incidentals \$67,200 Total \$203,000 Permitting \$20,000 Engineering \$40,000 Grand Total \$263,000

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Madera Irrigation District Water Bank Facility

System Improvement - 76

| References / Assumptions | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| - Table 8-1 Madera County IRWMP - ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://w | ww.usbr.gov/pmts | 2005 /estimate/cost | _trend.html |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composit ENR Factor, current date - composite index | e index | 277 376 | Jan. 2005 Jan. 2014 |
| | Inflation Ratio | 1.36 | |
| Description | | Amount | Rounded/inflated Amount |
| Total project cost | | \$91,156,000 | \$124,000,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Madera Canal / Hidden Dam Pump Storage Project

System Improvement - 77

| References / Assumptions | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| - Madera Canal/Hidden Camp Pump Storage Feasibility Stdy | Mar-03 | | |
| - ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmi | ts/estimate/c | ost_trend.ht | ml |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 247 | Apr. 2003 | |
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 | |
| Inflation Ratio | 1.51 | | |
| | | inflated | rounded |
| Description | unit price | unit price | unit price |
| | | | |

| 1 | Pumping Facilities | \$1,933,000 | \$2,926,891 | \$2,927,000 |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2 | Pipeline | \$5,115,000 | \$7,744,980 | \$7,745,000 |
| 3 | Hidden Dam Outlet Modifications | \$350,000 | \$529,960 | \$530,000 |
| 4 | Hydroelectric Facility | \$1,136,000 | \$1,720,097 | \$1,720,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Pumping Facilities | | lump sum | | \$2,927,000 |
| 2 | Pipeline | | lump sum | | \$7,745,000 |
| 3 | Hidden Dam Outlet Modifications | | lump sum | | \$530,000 |
| 4 | Hydroelectric Facility | | lump sum | | \$1,720,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$12,922,000 |
| | | 40% Con | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$5,178,000 |
| Total | | | | | \$18,100,000 |
| 15% Engineering Costs | | | | | \$1,900,000 |
| 15% Environmental Costs | | | | | \$1,900,000 |
| | | | G | Frand Total | \$21,900,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Madera Lake Regulating & Recharge Project

| System Improvement - 78 | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| References / Assumptions | | |
| - Table 8-1 Madera County IRWMP | 2005 | |
| - ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts | s/estimate/cost | _trend.html |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 252 | Jan. 2004 |
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 376 | Jan. 2014 |
| Inflation Ratio | 1.49 | |
| Description | Amount | Rounded/inflated Amount |
| Total project cost | \$155,000 | \$231,000 |

Siphon Extension near Chamberlain Road

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 79

References/Assumptions

- Review with George Park, Lone Tree Mutual Water Company

- Comparable cost estimate for Henry Miller Reclamation District project to replace existing siphon

- In channel project, 20% environmental costs

- Engineering - surveying, design, bidding

| Item | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Drice | Amount |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| INO. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | concrete pipe | 2,100 | linear feet | \$130 | \$273,000 |
| 2 | F&I Inlet & outlet structures | | lump sum | | \$50,000 |
| 3 | F&I Control gates | | lump sum | | \$20,000 |
| 4 | F&I Shut off gates with vaults | | lump sum | | \$40,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$383,000 |
| | | 50% Coi | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$187,000 |
| Total | | | | | |
| 20% of Subtotal for Enviornmental Permitting | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$700,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Bear Creek Diversion Structure

System Improvement - 1

| References / Assumptions | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| GEI Preliminary design data | Dec-13 |
| | |
| | |

| Inflation Ratio | 1.00 | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 |

| | Description | unit price | inflated | rounded |
|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | unit price | unit price | unit price |
| 1 | Structural Concrete | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| 2 | Embankment Removal | \$10 | \$10 | \$10 |
| 3 | Asphalt Demo | \$500 | \$500 | \$500 |
| 4 | Concrete Demo | \$500 | \$500 | \$500 |
| 5 | Asphalt Replacement | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| 6 | Rock Slope Protection | \$50 | \$50 | \$50 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1 | Structural Concrete | 40 | cubic yards (cy) | \$2,000 | \$80,000 |
| 2 | Embankment Removal | 60 | су | \$20 | \$1,200 |
| 3 | Asphalt Demo | 15 | су | \$500 | \$7,500 |
| 4 | Concrete Demo | 15 | су | \$500 | \$7,500 |
| 5 | Asphalt Replacement | 10 | су | \$2,000 | \$20,000 |
| 6 | Rock Slope Protection | 30 | су | \$50 | \$1,500 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$117,700 |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | \$80,000 |
| Environmental Costs | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | | | G | Frand Total | \$260,000 |

Levee Breeches LM9.90 Unit 1, LM 0.25 Unit 5

New Flash Board Flow Control Structures

| System Improvement - 2 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| A | References - see sheet set 59-1 f - see drawing no. B-2 - see drawing no. B-2 - note, lack of informa - assume cross-section - see preliminary desired | for applicable 2F8-1 for plan 2B1-1 for leve ation pertainir on of right ba ign sketch: Al | drawings and profil ee cross se ng to levee nk unit 5 is UTOCAD\D | e sheets ci ection at sta ounit 5 s similar to WGS\MISC | rca LM 9.90 565+00 (ci the right bai LowerSJLev | rca LM 9.90 nk of levee u eeDist\RFMP |) unit 1 design sk | etches |
| В | Assumptions | | | | | | | |
| | landside slope waterside slope crown width levee height bay width bay height wall thickness | | 2 3 12 7 6 6 12 | :1 :1 ft ft ft inches | | | | |
| С | Reinforced concrete | quantities | | | | | | |
| | Reinforced Concrete | | | | | | | |
| <u>Item</u> u/s sidewall d/s sidewall piers deck u/s floor str floor d/s floor | <u>ht./wd.</u> (ft) 6.00 12.00 26.00 22.00 26.00 | thickness (ft) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | <u>area</u> (sq ft) 47.95 73.50 | length (ft) 13.67 22.00 12.83 13.17 21.00 | <u>Vol</u> (cu yd) 1.78 2.72 3.04 9.78 12.35 10.73 20.22 | <u>quan.</u> 2 2 1 1 1 1 | <u>Vol.</u> (cu yd) 3.55 5.44 6.08 9.78 12.35 10.73 20.22 | |
| | | | | | Rou | Total Inded Total | 68.16 70.00 | су су |
| | | | | | 2 | structures | 140 | 0 cy |
| | | | | | | | | |

Levee Breeches Unit 1, LM 9.90; Unit 5, LM 0.25

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 2

References/Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 59-1

- Dwg. Nos. B-2F8-1 & B-2B1-1

- Preliminary design sketch

- Limited work area & staging footprint, 14% environmental costs

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Reinforced Concrete | 140 | cubic yards | \$1,500 | \$210,000 | |
| 2 | F&I Slope Protection | 800 | cubic yards | \$100 | \$80,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$290,000 | |
| | | 50% Co | ntingencies | & Incidentals | \$145,000 | |
| | | | | Total | \$435,000 | |
| 14% of Subtotal for Enviornmental Permitting | | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | | |
| | Grand Total | | | | | |

Raise Part of Left Levee Bank - Levee Unit 6

| System Improvement - 3 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| A | <u>References</u> - see sheet set 61-1: Levee and Bridge Construction - Left bank cross sections, see drawing no. (SDN) B-5B3-1 (sheet 8) - Typical levee cross sections, SDN B-0J6-1 (sheet 4) - ESBP Left bank Plan and Profile sheets SDN B-5F11-1 - B-5F11-7 (sheets 45-51) | | | | | | |
| В | <u>Assumptions</u> average levee height of 10 feet raise levee 2 feet per drawing no. B-0J6-1, existing maintenance strip is 10' wide after raising levee 2', maintenance strip will be 6' wide say edge of maintenance strip is extent of right-of-way | | | | | | |
| | fill area | | 134 | sa ft | | | |
| С | Compacted E - calculate ear | mbankment (thwork with a | Quantities iverage end a | irea method, a | issume uniforn | n section thro | ughout |
| | Station | length | area | avg. area | <u>volume</u> | volume | |
| | 0.00 | (ft) | (sq ft) | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) | |
| | 0+00 | 50.000 | 134.00 | 134.00 | 6.700.000 | 248,148 | |
| | 500+00 | | 134.00 | | _,, | <u> </u> | |

| Total | 248,148 cu yd | |
|---------------|----------------------|--|
| Rounded total | 250,000 cu yd | |

Raise Part of Left Bank - Levee Unit 6

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 3

References / Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 61-1
- Dwg. Nos. B-5B3-1, B-0J6-1, B-5F11-1 thru B-5F11-7
- Average levee height of 10'
- Raise levee height 2'

- Engineering costs estimated at 10% of the project cost - geotechnical investigation, comp testing

- Environmental compliance estimated at 10% of project cost subtotal - 10 mile linear footprint

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Furnish and Install Compacted Embankment | 250,000 | cubic yards | \$10.00 | \$2,500,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$1,250,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | \$3,750,000 |
| 10% of Subtotal for Environmental Compliance | | | | | \$250,000 |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | \$250,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | |

Modernize Electrical Controls, Level Sensors & SCADA for Control Structures

System Improvement - 4

References / Assumptions

- Site review / pre-design meetings for FSRP

- Fresno Valves & Castings quote

Engineering - site surveys, design, bidding, inspection
Environmental - Flood Board permitting required

| Item | | | 11.5 | | A A |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| NO. | | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| | sensors & brakes, Furnish and Install (F&I) new motor | 40 | | # 40.000 | \$ 400.000 |
| 1 | operators with integral position sensors, limit & torque | 16 | each | \$12,000 | \$192,000 |
| | switches, adapt to existing gear boxes | | | | |
| | Furnish share replacement parts for each type of | | | | |
| 2 | existing gate operator gear box | 3 | each | \$10,000 | \$30,000 |
| | | | | | |
| 3 | Demo existing conduit, wire & electrical equipment | | lump sum | | \$50,000 |
| 4 | F&I New conduit, wire & electrical panels, SCADA | | lump sum | | \$606.000 |
| | | | | | + , |
| 5 | Remove old, F&I new 25kVA emergency generator | 2 | each | \$25,000 | \$50,000 |
| 6 | PG&E upgrades | | lump sum | | \$40,000 |
| 7 | F&I new gaging stations | 7 | each | \$30,000 | \$210,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$1,178,000 |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | |
| Environmental Costs | | | | | |
| | | | C | Grand Total | \$1,885,000 |

A Does the project have an existing feasibility study/cost estimate?

- If yes, proceed to step C, if no go to step B

- **B** Preliminary design go to applicable design spreadsheet for calculations, some rough CAD sketches may be necessary, retreive elev. data from google earth or as-built drawings
 - earthwork project, see PD-1
 - flow control structure modification, see PD-2
 - other, develop when necessary
- C.1 Compile Cost Estimate go to applicable cost estimate spreadsheet calculations
 - if existing cost estimate is used, inflate costs using Engineering News Record (ENR) Inflation Factors published by the United States Bureau of Reclamation
 - existing study/cost estimate, ECE-1
 - Levee cost estimate, see CE-1
 - flow control structure cost estimate, see CE-2
 - other, develop when necessary
- C.2 Determine Environmental Compliance/Permitting costs
 - need a method for doing this, would it be best to assume some percentage of the entire project cost or consult an expert?

System Improvement - 5: Chowchilla Bifurcation Structures, Structure Enlargement

Material Quantities

A <u>References</u>

- see sheet set 65-30 for applicable drawings

- see drawing no. B-0D2-1 to B-0D2-10 for structure details

B Assumptions

- wing walls and footings to be removed on each side of the structure

- add two bays (one on each side) to the structure

C Quantities

Demolition

| <u>ltem</u> | <u>ht./wd,</u> (ft) | <u>thickness</u> (ft) | <u>area</u> (sg ft) | length (ft) | <u>Vol</u> (cu vd) | <u>quan.</u> | <u>Vol.</u> (cu.vd) |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| u/s ww fting | 19.00 | 2.00 | (99.9) | 63.25 | 89.02 | 1 | 89.02 |
| d/s ww fting | 8.38 | 2.00 | | 65.00 | 40.32 | 1 | 40.32 |
| u/s wing wall | 20.00 | 1.50 | | 65.25 | 72.50 | 1 | 72.50 |
| d/s wing wall | 11.25 | 1.50 | | 65.00 | 40.63 | 1 | 40.63 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Total | 242.47 |

Rounded Total **240.00 cy** 2 sides 480.00 cy

Reinforced Concrete

| ltom | <u>ht./wd,</u> | <u>thickness</u> | <u>area</u> | <u>length</u> | Vol | auan | <u>Vol.</u> | |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----|
| <u>item</u> | (ft) | (ft) | (sq ft) | (ft) | (cu yd) | <u>quan.</u> | (cu yd) | |
| road deck | 16.00 | 2.00 | | 22.50 | 26.67 | 1 | 26.67 | |
| gate deck | 6.00 | 2.00 | | 22.50 | 10.00 | 1 | 10.00 | |
| maintenance deck | 10.00 | 2.00 | | 22.50 | 16.67 | 1 | 16.67 | |
| new side walls | 18.00 | 1.50 | | 60.00 | 60.00 | 1 | 60.00 | |
| small pier | 18.00 | 1.00 | | 60.00 | 40.00 | 1 | 40.00 | |
| floor | 22.50 | 2.00 | | 60.00 | 100.00 | 1 | 100.00 | |
| | | | | | | Total | 253 | |
| | | | | | Rou | nded Total | 250 | _су |
| | | | | | | 2 each | 500 | су |

including wing wall replacement 980 cy

System Improvement - 5: Enlarge Chowchilla Canal Bypass Control Structure

Estimate of Cost - Remove & replace wing walls and add bay with gate to each side

References / Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 65-30
- Dwg. Nos. B-0D2-1 thru B-0D2-10
- Fresno Valves & Castings gate/operator pricing
- Remove existing wing walls & footings on each side of struct.
- Construct new outside bays and reconstruct wing walls & footings
- Limited work area & staging footprint 8% environmental costs
- 10% engineering costs

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | Demolish existing wing walls | 480 | cubic yards (cy) | \$200 | \$96,000 | |
| 2 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Reinforced Concrete (add bays) | 980 | су | \$1,500 | \$1,470,000 | |
| 3 | F&I Radial Gates | 2 | each | \$150,000 | \$300,000 | |
| 4 | F&I Rip Rap | 430 | су | \$100 | \$43,000 | |
| 5 | F&I Temporary fish passage channel & rail car bridge | | lump sum | | \$200,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$2,109,000 | |
| | | 50% Co | ntingencies | & Incidentals | \$891,000 | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| 8% of Subtotal for Environmental Permitting | | | | | | |
| | | 10% of | Subtotal for | Engineering | \$210,000 | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$3,380,000 | |

System Improvement - 5A: Chowchilla Bifurcation Structures, Repair Wing Wall Settlement

Material Quantities

| Α | References |
|---|-----------------------------------------------|
| | - see sheet set 65-30 for applicable drawings |

- see drawing no. B-0D2-1 to B-0D2-10 for structure details

B <u>Assumptions</u>

- excavate and provide wall footing extension (see sketch)

C Quantities

Wing wall footing extension

| <u>ltem</u> | <u>ht./wd,</u> (ft) | thickness (ft) | <u>area</u> (sg ft) | length (ft) | <u>Vol</u> (cu vd) | <u>quan.</u> | <u>Vol.</u> (cu vd) | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----|
| new footing | 18.50 | 1.00 | (-17 | 65.00 | 44.54 | 1 | 44.54 | |
| short wall | 4.00 | 1.00 | | 65.00 | 9.63 | 1 | 9.63 | _ |
| | | | | | Rou | Total nded Total | 54.17 54 | су |

led Total **54 cy** 2 each 108 cy

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement System Improvement - 5A: Rehabilitation of San Joaquin River Control Structure

Estimate of Cost - settlement repair

References / Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 65-30
- Dwg. Nos. B-0D2-1 thru B-0D2-10
- Excavate outside existing wing walls and extend footings
- Limited work area & staging footprint 10% environmental costs
- 10% engineering costs

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Reinforced Concrete | 110 | cubic yards | \$1,500 | \$165,000 | |
| 2 | F&I Rip Rap | 370 | cubic yards | \$100 | \$37,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$202,000 | |
| | | 50% Co | ntingencies | & Incidentals | \$98,000 | |
| | | | | Total | \$300,000 | |
| 10% of Subtotal for Environmental Permitting | | | | | | |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering | | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$340,000 | |

Chowchilla Bifurcation Structure Sediment Removal

Estimated Material Quantity - excavated material

| System Improvement - 6 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | References - Google Earth K | (MZ file owerSJLeveeDist\RF rkshop | MP Map Data\Project 6 Ser | diment Removal Chowchilla | Bifurcation.kmz | |
| В | Assumptions sediment remove remove sedimer dredge an additi 2:1 side slope fo | al area to b nt to depth onal 10' - 1 or excavatio | be 150' long x of 6' 2' ot develop on zone due to | 110'+/- wide (sediment trap o unstable soils | width of river) s expected in ri | iver bed |
| С | Compacted Emb - calculate earth top width (estima side slopes depth bottom width excavation area lengths | oankment (work, assu ated) | Quantities me uniform se 110 2 18 38 1332 150 | ection through ft :1 ft ft sq ft ft | out | |
| | <u>Station</u> 0+00 1+50 | <u>length</u> (ft) 150 | <u>area</u> (sq ft) 1332.00 1,332.00 | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) 1,332.00 25% f excav | volume (cu ft) 199,800 Total pulking factor ated material punded total | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) 7,400 7,400 cu yd 1,850 9,250 cu yd 9,300 cu yd |

Sediment Removal Chowchilla Canal Bypass Control Structure

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 6

References / Assumptions

- Google Earth KMZ file - Review with LSJLD staff

- Sediment removal area to be 150' long x 110'+/- wide (width of river)

- Remove sediment to depth of 6'

- Excavate an additional 10' - 12' depth for sediment trap

- 2:1 excavation slopes
- In channel project, 75% environmental costs
- Engineering grading plan, bidding

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| 1 | Excavate and spread spoil adjacent to swale | | cubic yards | \$7.50 | \$69,750 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$35,250 | |
| | | Т | otal Constru | ction Costs | \$105,000 | |
| Environmental Compliance | | | | | | |
| | | | Engine | ering Costs | \$20,000 | |
| | | | (| Grand Total | \$175,000 | |

Sediment Removal in the Eastside Bypass

| | Estimated quantity of Sediment | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| System Impro | vement - 8 | | | | | | | |
| Α | <u>References</u> | | | | | | | |
| | - Google earth sketch | of pilot cha | annel | | | | | |
| | - Arcmap for area cal | culation | | | | | | |
| B & C | Assumptions & Calcu | lations | | | | | | |
| | pilot channel area per | rarcmap | 3.700.000 sf | | | | | |
| | excavation depth | | 6 ft | | | | | |
| | excavated material ± | | 822,222 cy | | | | | |
| | assume 25% bulking | factor | 205,556 | | | | | |
| | excavated material | | 1,027,778 | | | | | |
| | rounded total | | 1,000,000 cy | | | | | |
| | work would be done b equipment - dozers w say that one excavato | by a contract / operator or can move | ctor e 2500 cy per day | | | | | |
| | num. of excavators equipment costs operator costs equip-days | 2 \$1,600 \$1,600 164 | per day per day days | | | | | |

Estimated Quantity of Sediment

say that hauling costs may be substantial

- use cost from GVGSP levee de-authorization

Sediment Removal in the Eastside Bypass

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 8

References / Assumptions

Google Earth sketch of pilot channel
Arcmap area calculation
Average excavation depth of 6'
In-channel project, 20% environmental costs
Engineering - surveying, bidding, const insp

| Item | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Prico | Amount | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| INU. | Description | Quantity | 0111 | Unit Flice | Amount | | |
| 1 | Remove sediment and haul to spoil area | 1,000,000 | cubic yards | \$7.50 | \$7,500,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | | | | | | |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies a | & Incidentals | \$3,750,000 | | |
| | | | | Total | \$11,250,000 | | |
| | 20% Environmental Costs | | | | | | |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$12,850,000 | | |

Sand Slough Control Structure Removal

Material Quantities

| System Improvement - 9 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| A | References - see sheet set 59-1 fo - see drawing no. B-5A - see CAD sketch "hea | or applicable \4-1 & B-5A ad" levee cr <u>SC\LowerSJLe</u> Jantity back | e drawings A5-1 for stru oss-sectior eveeDist\RFM sup | ucture details ns P design sketch | s nes∖parshall flume leve | e sections.dwg |
| В | Assumptions | | | | | |
| | say the structure floo say head levee cross say cobble slope prof 25% bulking factor fo grouted cobbles cost small cost for excava will not need to be had | r does not s s-sections a tection exte or removed the same t tted materia auled | slope are uniform ands the sau embankme to remove a al since it ca | throughout le me length do ent, may nee as reinforced an be used to | ength winstream on eac d to haul in mater concrete o fill in structure fo | ch side of flume ial for backfill potprint and |
| С | Material Quantities | | | | | |
| | Grouted Cobbles: | 209 246 134 87 | cy cy cy cy | | | |
| | total rounded total | 676 680 | су су | | | |
| | Excavated Material: total rounded total | 88 120 208 210 | су су су су | | | |
| | Reinforced Concrete | headwall peirs deck floor walls | volume 1.6 0.6 1.7 28.7 7.4 | <u>quantity</u> 2 7 1 2 _ sav | <u>total</u> 3 4 2 29 15 53 60 cv | - to account for |
| | Demolish existing strue excavated material | cture | 740 210 | cy cy | | no-slope assumpt. |

Sand Slough Control Structure Removal

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 9

References / Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 59-1

- Dwg. Nos. B-5A4-1 & B-5A5-1

Cobble slope protection same u/s & d/s
Grouted cobble removal same price as reinf. conc.

- Minimal earth removal use high unit cost
 In-channel project, 20% environmental costs
 Engineering bidding & inspection

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | Demolish existing structure | 775 | cubic yards | \$200 | \$155,000 |
| 2 | Remove existing embankment | 210 | cubic yards | \$25.00 | \$5,250 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$160,250 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$79,750 |
| | | | | Total | \$240,000 |
| 20% of Subtotal for Enviornmental Permitting | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$290,000 |

Great Valley Grassland State Park (GVGSP) Levee De-authorization

Excavated Material Quantity Calculation

System Improvement - 12

A <u>References</u>

- see DWR Drawing set 59-1
- sheet 51-52 for SJR unit 2 sta 24+19-145+50
- sheet 49-50 for Salt Slough unit 25
- sheet 8 for cross sections, use typical salt slough cross section for estimating

B Assumptions

- say levee cross section is uniform for volume calculation

C Excavation Quantities

- calculate earthwork with average end area method

| levee length crown width (o bottom width (height (h) | cw) (bw) | 25,200 12 67 11 | ft ft ft ft | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| cross sectional area | | 434.5 | sq ft | A = ½ (cw+bw) x h | | |
| <u>Station</u> 0+00 | <u>length</u> (ft) | <u>area</u> (sq ft) 434.50 | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) | |
| | 25,200 | | 434.50 | 10,949,400 | 405,533 | |

434.50

252+00

 Total
 405,533 cu yd

 Rounded Total
 406,000 cu yd

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Great Valley Grassland State Park (GVGSP) Levee De-authorization

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 12

References / Assumptions

- Project Contract Drawings 59-1
- Sheets 8, 49-50, 51-52
- Uniform levee cross section
- Engineering, 2% surveying
- 5 mile linear project, 10% environmental permitting

| Item | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Price | Amount | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| 1 | Remove existing levee and haul excavated material to spoil area | 406,000 | cubic yards | \$7.50 | \$3,045,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | | |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | | | |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | | | |
| 10% of Subtotal for Environmental Permitting | | | | | | | |
| 2% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | | | | | | |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Great Valley Grassland State Park (GVGSP) Levee De-authorization

Excavated Material Unit Cost Derivation

| , ,,,, | provement - r | 2 | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | Remove exist | ting leve | <u>e</u> | | | | |
| | say | \$3.00 | per cy | | | | |
| | <u>Hauling</u> *see Central ' | Valley C | oncrete/ | Ce | ntral Valle | эy | Trucking Bid Quotation |
| | Assumptions | | | | | | |
| | average truck haul distance unit weight of | to speed to spoil soil | area | | 2 11 | 20 5 0 | mph miles pcf |
| | Calculations | | | | | | |
| | haul time, from load time* unload time* | m assun | nptions | | 1 1 1 | 5 0 0 | min min min |
| | round to | nearest | 10 minu | tes | 3 0.6 | 85 67 | min/load hr per load |
| | hourly rate for cost per load | r transfe | r truck* | | \$95.00 \$63.33 | 0 3 | per hr per load |
| | load weight* - derived (2.7 | 2hr x \$9 | 5/hr)/(\$1 | 1.7 | 22. 75/ton) = 2 | .0 21 | tons per load .99 tons per load, say 22 tons |
| | cost per ton | | | | \$2.88 | 8 | per ton |
| | cost per cub | ic yard | | | \$4.28 | 8 | per cubic yard |
| | A + B | = say | \$7.: \$7. : | 30 50 | per cy per cy | | |
| | | | | | | | |

System Improvement - 12

Α

В

Bridge Enlargement over Eastside Bypass at Sandy Mush Road

Material Quantities

| System Im | provement - 13 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| А | <u>References</u> - see DWR Drawing set 61-1 - for bent & pile cap details see drawing no (SDN) B-5E6-3 - for deck/girder details SDN B-5E6-2 - abutment details SDN B-5E6-3 - piles SDN B-0E1-2 |
| В | Assumptions new bridge deck can be connected to existing deck |

lengthen bridge deck can be connected to existing deck lengthen bridge 140' + 35' + 26'-3" + 3'-5" = 204.67' to the west piles spaced at 35 feet centerline to centerline @ 45° skew 5 piles per bent (typ) and 4 piles at abutment install 5 new interior bents w/ 25 new piles - SDN B-5E6-3, section F-F install 1 new exterior bent w/ 5 piles - SDN B-5E6-3, section J-J install 1 new abutment w/ 4 piles - SDN B-5E6-3, section G-G remove abutment and exterior bent, address in cost est.

As built levee section estimated w/ dwg no. B-5E6-1 - 30' crown, 100' base and 3:1 side slopes --> levee height, say 12'

Piles are circular, diameter = 1'-4". Use FHA manual to determine cost (URL shown on page 2) chapter 5 - cost data for driven piles

C <u>Calculations</u>

| interior be | ent | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| <u>ltem</u> | <u>ht./wd,</u> (ft) | thick (ft) | <u>area</u> (sg ft) | <u>length</u> (ft) | <u>Vol</u> (cu vd) | <u>quan.</u> | <u>Vol.</u> (cu vd) |
| pile cap | 2.50 | 2.33 | (-1-7 | 42.92 | 9.27 | 1 | 9.3 |
| | | | | | rc | ounded total | 9.0 |
| Exterior b | pent | | | | | | |
| Item | <u>ht./wd,</u> | <u>thick</u> | area | <u>length</u> | Vol | <u>quan.</u> | <u>Vol.</u> |
| <u></u> | (ft) | (ft) | (sq ft) | (ft) | (cu yd) | | (cu yd) |
| pile cap | 5.00 | 2.33 | | 42.92 | 18.54 | 1 | 18.5 |
| | | | | | rc | ounded total | 19.0 |
| Abutment | t | | | | | | |
| ltom | <u>ht./wd,</u> | <u>thick</u> | area | <u>length</u> | Vol | <u>quan.</u> | Vol. |
| nem | (ft) | (ft) | (sq ft) | (ft) | (cu yd) | | (cu yd) |
| abutment | 3.67 | 5.00 | | 42.92 | 29.14 | 1 | 29.14 |
| wing walls | 4.13 | 1.00 | | 12.50 | 1.91 | 2 | 3.82 |
| | | | | | rc | ounded total | 33.0 |

| | Bridge Enlargement over Eastside Bypass at Sandy Mush Road | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Material Quantities | | | | | | | | | |
| System I | mprovement - 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| С | Calculations (cont'd) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reinforced Concrete | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Item</u> abutment ¹ exterior bent ¹ interior bent ¹ | area (sq ft) | length (ft) | <u>Vol</u> (cu yd) 33 19 9 | <u>quan.</u> 1 1 4 | <u>Vol.</u> (cu yd) 33 19 36 245 | | | | |
| | deck extension | 32.4 | 204.07 | 240 | · · | 333 | _ cu vd | | | |
| | Excavated material | | | | | 000 | ou yu | | | |
| | <u>length</u> <u>area</u> (ft) (sq ft) 275 780.00 | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) 7,944 | <u>bulk²</u> (cu yd) 1,986 | <u>total</u> (cu yd) 9,931 | say | 10,000 | су | | | |
| | levee area taken from actual levee length to 50' to facilitate constr | n assumption be removed uction, say 2 | n listed on p. I is approxim 175' | 1 nately 205'+ | 20'. Add an | extra | | | | |
| | Pavement | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Length width</u> 204.67 28 | <u>area</u> 5730.76 | say | 6000 | sf | | | | | |
| | <u>Piles</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | | quan | unit | cost/unit | cost | | | | | |
| | F&I pile (contractor) ³ | 9.1 | meters | \$290 | \$2,700 | | | | | |
| | pile ext. above ground | 0.9 | cu yd | \$1,500 | \$1,312 | | | | | |
| | geotechnical inspect. | 0.25 | days | \$1,200 | \$300 | | | | | |
| | load testing | 1 | ea | \$100 | \$100 | | | | | |
| | transportation ⁴ | 1 | ea | \$760 | \$185 | | | | | |
| | | | | | \$4,597 | say | / | | | |

\$5,000

- 1 taken from quantities calculated on page 1
- 2 assume 25% bulking factor

29

number of piles

assume FHA type C08A1, cost = \$262.48/meter (updated 4-7-2011) 3 http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/engineering/geotech/pubs/05159/chapter5.cfm

4 See GVGSP Levee De-commissioning for backup
Bridge Enlargement over Eastside Bypass at Sandy Mush Road

Material Quantities

System Improvement - 13

C Calculations (cont'd)

Reinforced Concrete to be removed

| <u>ltem</u> | <u>area</u> (sq ft) | <u>length</u> (ft) | <u>Vol</u> (cu yd) | <u>quan.</u> | <u>Vol.</u> (cu yd) | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------|
| abutment ¹ | | | 33 | 1 | 33 | |
| exterior bent ¹ | | | 19 | 1 | 19 | |
| deck extension | 32.4 | 100 | 120 | 1 | 120 | |
| | | | | | 172 | cu yd |

Concrete Demolition costs

- assume it takes 1 week to demolish substructure of bridge w/ 4 excavators, two trucks, and 10 laborers

| equipment costs | 4 | 400 | 5 | \$8,000 | | |
|-----------------|----|--------|---------------|----------|-------|------------|
| labor costs | 10 | 200 | 5 | \$10,000 | | |
| hauling costs | 3 | 95 | 40 | \$11,400 | | |
| | | | total costs | \$29,400 | | |
| | | cost p | er cubic yard | \$171 | \$200 | <u>say</u> |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Bridge Enlargement over Eastside Bypass at Sandy Mush Road

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 13

- Project Contract Drawings 61-1
- Dwg. Nos. B-0E1-2, B-5E6-1 thru B-5E6-3
- New bridge deck connected to existing deck
- Lengthen deck 205' to west
- Pile bents spaced at 35' $\mbox{c/c}$
- Potential fish passage issues, 9% environmental costs

| Item | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|
| No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Reinforced Concrete | 333 | cubic yards (cy) | \$1,500 | \$499,500 |
| 2 | F&I Excavated Material | 10,000 | су | \$7.50 | \$75,000 |
| 3 | F&I Driven Piles | 29 | each | \$5,000 | \$145,000 |
| 4 | F&I Pavement | 6,000 | square feet | \$15 | \$90,000 |
| 5 | Demolish Reinforced Concrete | 172 | су | \$200 | \$34,400 |
| 6 | F&I Slope Protection | 840 | су | \$100 | \$84,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$927,900 |
| | | 50% Coi | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$462,100 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | \$1,390,000 |
| 9% of Subtotal for Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$80,000 |
| 15% of Subtotal for Engineering | | | | | \$140,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$1,610,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Install New Gaging Stations

| Refere | nces / Assumptions | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| - USBR - Use 2 - Engin - In-cha | cost for gaging station near San Mateo Ave. 5% contingencies since actual costs are available leering - standard design, surveying, bidding annel project, say \$10k per site | Oct-11 | | | |
| | ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite inde | 360 | Oct. 2011 | | |
| | ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 | | |
| | Inflation Ratio | 1.04 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Description | unit price | inflated | rounded | |
| | | unit price | unit price | unit price | |
| 1 | Construct gaging station | \$29,000 | \$30,128 | \$30,000 | |
| | т | | | | |
| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Construct gaging station | 6 | each | \$30,000 | \$180,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$180,000 |
| | | 25% Conf | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$50,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$230,000 |
| | | | Engine | ering Costs | \$40,000 |
| | | | Environm | ental Costs | \$60,000 |
| | | | Ģ | Fand Total | \$330,000 |

Eastside Acres San Joaquin River Levee Project

Estimated Material Quantity - Compacted Embankment

| System Im | provement - 1 | 8 | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| A | <u>References</u> - Google Eart | h KMZ file sho | wing propose | ed levee alig | nment | | |
| В | Assumptions build new leve 16' wide crow Length = 1.32 say 3:1 water assume 3' ove | ee n and 5' heigh ? mi per DWR side slopes an er excavation a | t - per DWR memo d 2:1 landsid and recompa | memo e slopes ction for lev | ee stability | | |
| | levee length fill area | | 6,970 265.5 | ft sq ft | | | |
| С | Compacted E - calculate ea | mbankment Q rthwork with a | uantities verage end a | rea method | , assume uniform | section throug | hout |
| | <u>Station</u> 0+00 69+70 | <u>length</u> (ft) 6,970 | <u>area</u> (sq ft) 265.50 265.50 | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) 265.50 | volume (cu ft) 1,850,429 | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) 68,534 | |
| | | | | | Total Rounded total | 68,534 cu 69,000 cu | ı yd ı yd |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Sheet Eastside Acres San Joaquin River Levee Project

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 18

- Google Earth KMZ file showing proposed levee alignment
- No land costs levee to be built on Eastside Acres property
- 16' wide crown and 5' height
- 3:1 waterside slopes and 2:1 landside slopes
- 3' overexcavation and recompaction for levee stability
- 1.32 mile long linear footprint next to river 15% environmental costs
- Engineering geotechnical, design, bidding, comp testing

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Furnish and Install Compacted Embankment | 69,000 | cubic yards | \$10.00 | \$690,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies 8 | Incidentals | \$350,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | \$1,040,000 |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$100,000 |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | \$70,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | \$1,210,000 |

Fresno Slough South Levee Repair and Floodplain Enhancement Project - Levee Repair

Estimated Material Quantity - Compacted Embankment and Road Surfacing

System Improvement - 19

Α

<u>References</u> - Steve Stadler, KRCD - Google Earth

B <u>Assumptions</u>

raise levee 2 feet existing levee is 5' high with degraded slopes 2' of overexcavation required 12' top width resurface road regrade landside slopes 2:1 regrade waterside slopes 3:1 interceptor drain size - say 1.5:1 side slopes, 3' depth and 15' top width

| levee length | 8,000 ft± | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------|
| fill area | 200 sq ft | sketch in CAD for area |
| road resurfacing area | 96,000 sq ft | |

C Compacted Embankment Quantities

- calculate earthwork with average end area method, assume uniform section throughout

| Station | length | <u>area</u> | <u>avg. area</u> | <u>volume</u> | <u>volume</u> | |
|---------|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | (ft) | (sq ft) | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) | |
| 0+00 | | 200.00 | | | | |
| | 8,000 | | 200.00 | 1,600,000 | 59,259 | |
| 80+00 | | 200.00 | | | | |

| Total | 59,259 | cu yd |
|---------------|--------|-------|
| Rounded total | 60,000 | |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Fresno Slough South Levee Repair and Floodplain Enhancement Project - Levee Repair

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 19

- Review with Steve Stadler, KRCD
- Google Earth
- Raise levee 2 feet
- No imported fill required, existing material in channel will be used
- Existing levee is 5' high with degraded slopes
- 2' of overexcavation required
- 12' top width
- Resurface road
- Regrade landside slopes 2:1
- Regrade waterside slopes 3:1
- Environmental permitting cost per review with KRCD
- Engineering costs geotechnical investigation, comp testing, 10% of project cost

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Compacted Embankment | 60,000 | cubic yards | \$10.00 | \$600,000 |
| 2 | Furnish and Install Road Surfacing | 96,000 | square feet | \$1.00 | \$96,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$696,000 |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$344,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | \$1,040,000 |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$30,000 |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | \$70,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$1,140,000 |

Fresno Slough South Levee Repair and Floodplain Enhancement Project - Floodplain Enhancement

Estimated Material Quantity - excavated material

| System In A | nprovement - 1 <u>References</u> - Steve Stadle - Google Eart | 9 er, KRCD h | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| В | Assumptions | | | | | |
| | construct swa | ale, 100' wide | 4' deep 2:1 s | ide slopes | | |
| | swale length swale prism | 1000 368 | ft sq ft | | | |
| | remove existi existing levee | ng levee, (4' ł e length ±600 | nigh, 2:1 side ft | slopes, 12' cro | own) | |
| | levee length est. area | 600 80 | ft sq ft | | | |
| с | Excavated Ma - calculate ea | aterial Quanti rthwork with a | ties average end a | area method, a | ssume uniform | n section throughout |
| | Swale | | | | | |
| | Station | length | area | avg. area | volume | volume |
| | 0+00 | (ft) | (sq ft) 368.00 | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) |
| | 10+00 | 1,000 | 368.00 | 368.00 | 368,000 | 13,630 |
| | | | | | Total say | 13,630 cu yd 14,000 cu yd |
| | Levee degrac | ling | | | - | - |
| | <u>Station</u> | <u>length</u> (ft) | <u>area</u> (sq ft) | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) |
| | 0+00 | 600 | 80.00 | 80.00 | 48,000 | 1,778 |

80.00

6+00

| Total | 1,778 | cu yd |
|-------|-------|-------|
| say | 2,000 | cu yd |

Fresno Slough South Levee Repair and Floodplain Enhancement Project - Floodplain Enhancement

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 19

References / Assumptions

- Steve Stadler, KRCD

- Google Earth

- Construct swale 100' wide x 4' deep, 2:1 side slopes

- Remove existing levee, 4' high, 2:1 side slopes, 12' crown

- No hauling costs - swale and levee material can used for levee improvements

- Engineering - surveying and grading plan, 25% of project costs

- Environmental permitting - major streambed alteration

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------|----------|
| 1 | Degrade Existing Levee | 2,000 | cubic yards (cy) | \$5.00 | \$10,000 |
| 2 | Excavate Swale | 14,000 | су | \$5.00 | \$70,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | \$80,000 | | | | |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | \$40,000 |
| | \$120,000 | | | | |
| | \$60,000 | | | | |
| 25% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | \$20,000 |
| | \$200,000 | | | | |

Fresno Slough Sediment Removal

Estimated Quantity of Sediment

| System Im | provement - 20 | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Α | <u>References</u> - Steve Stadler, KRCE - Google Earth | 0 | | |
| В | Assumptions | | | |
| | Narrow Channel Area Wide Channel Area | | 75,000 500,000 | cy cy |
| | work would be done b equipment - dozers w say that one excavato | oy a contrac / operator or can move | tor 2500 cy per d | ау |
| | equipment costs operator costs equip-days | \$800 \$800 230 | per day per day days | |
| | no hauling costs, sedi | ment will be | e piled against | levee toe |
| С | Estimated cost per cy | | | |
| | total cost for excavation | on | \$368,000 | |
| | cost per cubic yard | | \$0.64 - say \$0.75 | per cy |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Fresno Slough Sediment Removal

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 20

- Steve Stadler, KRCD
- Google Earth
- No hauling costs, sediment will be piled against levee toe
- 3' average sediment depth
- Environmental permitting cost per review with KRCD
- Engineering surveying

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| 1 | Remove sediment | 575,000 | cubic yards | \$0.75 | \$431,250 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | \$218,750 |
| | | | | Total | \$650,000 |
| Environmental Costs | | | | | \$50,000 |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | \$20,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | \$720,000 |

Le Grand Canal Flood Control Structure at Black Rascal Creek

Material Quantities

System Improvement - 24

A <u>References</u>

- Google Earth
- Project description from MID
- CAD sketch

..\..\AUTOCAD\DWGS\MISC\LowerSJLeveeDist\RFMP design sketches\la grand spill structure.dwg

B <u>Assumptions</u>

- say 2 bay structure in southerly bank of canal
- 8'w x 8'h bays with automated sluice gates
 - say gates are 6'x6'
- 2' x 72" diam RCP outlets to black raascal creek
- provide slope protection @ outlet and canal transition
- due to remoteness and difficult access, add 10% to rip rap cost

channel geom.

| Т | 60 ft | (measured) |
|-------------------|----------|------------|
| SS | 1.5 | (say) |
| depth | 8 ft | |
| b | 36 ft | |
| plan length | 12 ft | |
| length over slope | 14.42 ft | |

C <u>Quantities</u>

Reinforced Concrete

| Itom | <u>ht./wd,</u> | <u>thickness</u> | <u>area</u> | <u>length</u> | Vol | quan | <u>Vol.</u> | |
|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|----|
| <u>item</u> | (ft) | (ft) | (sq ft) | (ft) | (cu yd) | <u>quan.</u> | (cu yd) | |
| piers | 8.00 | 1.00 | | 4.00 | 1.19 | 3 | 3.56 | |
| back wall | 8.00 | 1.00 | | 23.00 | 6.81 | 1 | 6.81 | |
| footing | | 1.00 | 368.00 | | 13.63 | 1 | 13.63 | _ |
| | | | | | Rou | Total nded Total | 24.00 24.00 | су |
| 72" RGR | CP - see CAL | D sketch for a | assumed a | lignment | | | | |

| 2.00 | @ | 100.00 | 200 ft | (see cad sketch) |
|------|---|--------|--------|------------------|
|------|---|--------|--------|------------------|

Le Grand Canal Flood Control Structure at Black Rascal Creek

Material Quantities

| slope protection | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| la grand can | al - see sl | ketch for ass | sumed place | ement | | | |
| <u>item</u> approach transition side slope | <u>area</u> 203.6 28.3 191.5 | <u>slp factor</u> 1 1.20 1.20 | thickness 2 2 2 | <u>quan</u> 1 2 2 | <u>vol (cy)</u> 15.1 5.0 34.1 | _ | |
| pipe outlet - | see sketc | h for assum | Rou ed placeme | Total nded Total say nt | 54.22 54.00 50.00 | су су | |
| approximate thickness volume | area | 1600 | sf ft cy | add 20% to for sloped a | account areas say | | 142 cy 150 cy |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Le Grand Canal Flood Control Structure at Black Rascal Creek

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 24

- Google Earth
- Project description by MID
- Prelim design sketch
- 2 bay structure in southerly bank of canal
- 8'w x 8'h bays with automated sluice gates
- 2 ea 72" dia RCP outlets to Black Rascal Creek
- Slope protection at canal and outlet
- Minor streabed alteration in creek, 15% environmental costs
- Engineering surveying, design, bidding, inspection

| Item | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Prico | Amount |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Reinforced Concrete | 24 | cubic yards | \$1,500 | \$36,000 |
| 2 | F&I Slope Protection | 200 | cubic yards | \$110 | \$22,000 |
| 3 | F&I 72" RGRCP | 200 | linear feet | \$500 | \$100,000 |
| 4 | F&I Automated Sluice Gates | 2 | each | \$30,000 | \$60,000 |
| 5 | F&I SCADA integration | | lump sum | | \$40,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$258,000 |
| | | 50% Coi | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$132,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$390,000 |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$40,000 |
| Engineering | | | | | \$60,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$490,000 |

System Improvement - 25

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo - October 1979 - see page FI-102 (reference 1)
- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html
- Large impacted area - environmental costs 10% of construction

| Inflation Ratio | 3.09 | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 121 | Oct. 1979 |

| | Description | unit prico | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Lands and Damages | \$460,000 | 35% | \$340,741 | \$1,054,000 |
| 2 | Reservoirs | \$200,000 | 20% | \$166,667 | \$516,000 |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | \$10,740,000 | 20% | \$8,950,000 | \$27,664,000 |
| 4 | Spillway | \$5,170,000 | 20% | \$4,308,333 | \$13,317,000 |
| 5 | Outlet Works | \$4,170,000 | 20% | \$3,475,000 | \$10,741,000 |
| 6 | Roads | \$310,000 | 20% | \$258,333 | \$799,000 |
| 7 | Permanent Operating Equipment | \$100,000 | 20% | \$83,333 | \$258,000 |
| 8 | Engineering and Design | \$2,480,000 | 0% | \$2,480,000 | \$7,666,000 |
| 9 | Supervision and Administration | \$1,670,000 | 0% | \$1,670,000 | \$5,162,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Lands and Damages | | lump sum (ls) | \$1,054,000 | \$1,054,000 | |
| 2 | Reservoirs | | ls | \$516,000 | \$516,000 | |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | | ls | \$27,664,000 | \$27,664,000 | |
| 4 | Spillway | | ls | \$13,317,000 | \$13,317,000 | |
| 5 | Outlet Works | | ls | \$10,741,000 | \$10,741,000 | |
| 6 | Roads | | ls | \$799,000 | \$799,000 | |
| 7 | Permanent Operating Equipment | | ls | \$258,000 | \$258,000 | |
| 8 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$7,666,000 | \$7,666,000 | |
| 9 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$5,162,000 | \$5,162,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$99,330,000 | |

mprovement - 25

- USACE Design Memo October 1979 - see page FII-40 (reference 3)
- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html
- In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| 3.09 | |
|------|---------------------------|
| 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| 121 | Oct. 1979 |
| | 121 374 3.09 |

| | Description | unit price | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Channels | \$50,000 | 20% | \$41,667 | \$129,000 |
| 2 | Levees | \$4,410,000 | 20% | \$3,675,000 | \$11,359,000 |
| 3 | Permanent Operating Equipment | \$30,000 | 20% | \$25,000 | \$77,000 |
| 4 | Engineering and Design | \$740,000 | 0% | \$740,000 | \$2,287,000 |
| 5 | Supervision and Administration | \$490,000 | 0% | \$490,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| 6 | Lands | \$2,020,000 | 35% | \$1,496,296 | \$4,625,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Roads | \$410,000 | 20% | \$341,667 | \$1,056,000 |
| 8 | Relocate Utilities | \$1,260,000 | 20% | \$1,050,000 | \$3,245,000 |

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1 | Channels | | lump sum (Is) | \$129,000 | \$129,000 |
| 2 | Levees | | ls | \$11,359,000 | \$11,359,000 |
| 3 | Permanent Operating Equipment | | ls | \$77,000 | \$77,000 |
| 4 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$2,287,000 | \$2,287,000 |
| 5 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$1,515,000 | \$1,515,000 |
| 6 | Lands | | ls | \$4,625,000 | \$4,625,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$1,056,000 | \$1,056,000 |
| 8 | Relocate Utilities | | ls | \$3,245,000 | \$3,245,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | \$9,707,000 |
| Total | | | | | \$34,000,000 |
| | | | Environmer | ntal Permitting | \$2,380,000 |
| | Grand Total | | | | |

| System I | Improvement | - 25 |
|----------|-------------|------|
|----------|-------------|------|

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo October 1979 - see page FII-46 (reference 3)

ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html
 In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
|--------------------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Inflation Ratio | 3.09 | |

| | Description | unit price | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| | Description | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Levees | \$30,000 | 20% | \$25,000 | \$77,000 |
| 2 | Engineering and Design | \$15,000 | 0% | \$15,000 | \$46,000 |
| 3 | Supervision and Administration | \$15,000 | 0% | \$15,000 | \$46,000 |
| 4 | Lands | \$40,000 | 35% | \$29,630 | \$92,000 |
| 5 | Relocate Roads | \$100,000 | 20% | \$83,333 | \$258,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------|-------------|-----------|
| 1 | Levees | | ls | \$77,000 | \$77,000 |
| 2 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$46,000 | \$46,000 |
| 3 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$46,000 | \$46,000 |
| 4 | Lands | | ls | \$92,000 | \$92,000 |
| 5 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$258,000 | \$258,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | \$519,000 |
| | 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | |
| Total | | | | \$730,000 | |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | \$50,000 | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$780,000 |

System Improvement - 25

- USACE Design Memo October 1979 - see page FII-51 (reference 3)
- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html
- In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| | Inflation Ratio | 3.09 | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - com | posite index | 121 | Oct. 1979 |

| | cription unit price | | | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| | | C C | contingency | C&I | unit price | |
| 1 | Relocate Railroad | \$1,090,000 | 20% | \$908,333 | \$2,808,000 | |
| 2 | Channels | \$720,000 | 20% | \$600,000 | \$1,855,000 | |
| 3 | Levees | \$4,000,000 | 20% | \$3,333,333 | \$10,303,000 | |
| 4 | Recreation Facilities | \$240,000 | 20% | \$200,000 | \$618,000 | |
| 5 | Engineering and Design | \$1,100,000 | 0% | \$1,100,000 | \$3,400,000 | |
| 6 | Supervision and Administration | \$720,000 | 0% | \$720,000 | \$2,225,000 | |
| 7 | Lands | \$3,600,000 | 35% | \$2,666,667 | \$8,242,000 | |
| 8 | Relocate Roads | \$1,930,000 | 20% | \$1,608,333 | \$4,971,000 | |
| 9 | Relocate Utilities | \$1,120,000 | 20% | \$933,333 | \$2,885,000 | |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1 | Relocate Railroad | | lump sum (ls) | \$2,808,000 | \$2,808,000 |
| 2 | Channels | | ls | \$1,855,000 | \$1,855,000 |
| 3 | Levees | | ls | \$10,303,000 | \$10,303,000 |
| 4 | Recreation Facilities | | ls | \$618,000 | \$618,000 |
| 5 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$3,400,000 | \$3,400,000 |
| 6 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$2,225,000 | \$2,225,000 |
| 7 | Lands | | ls | \$8,242,000 | \$8,242,000 |
| 8 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$4,971,000 | \$4,971,000 |
| 9 | Relocate Utilities | | ls | \$2,885,000 | \$2,885,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | \$14,893,000 |
| Total | | | | \$52,200,000 | |
| | | | Environmer | ntal Permitting | \$3,520,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$55,720,000 |

| System | Improvement | - 25 |
|--------|-------------|------|
|--------|-------------|------|

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo October 1979 - see page FII-59 (reference 3)

- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html

- In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| 3.09 | |
|------|---------------------------|
| 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| 121 | Oct. 1979 |
| | 121 374 3.09 |

| | Description | unit price | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Levees | \$1,270,000 | 20% | \$1,058,333 | \$3,271,000 |
| 2 | Engineering and Design | \$230,000 | 0% | \$230,000 | \$711,000 |
| 3 | Supervision and Administration | \$160,000 | 0% | \$160,000 | \$495,000 |
| 4 | Lands | \$470,000 | 35% | \$348,148 | \$1,076,000 |
| 5 | Relocate Roads | \$420,000 | 20% | \$350,000 | \$1,082,000 |
| 6 | Relocate Utilities | \$200,000 | 20% | \$166,667 | \$515,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit Unit Price | | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Levees | | ls | \$3,271,000 | \$3,271,000 |
| 2 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$711,000 | \$711,000 |
| 3 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$495,000 | \$495,000 |
| 4 | Lands | | ls | \$1,076,000 | \$1,076,000 |
| 5 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$1,082,000 | \$1,082,000 |
| 6 | Relocate Utilities | | ls | \$515,000 | \$515,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$7,150,000 |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | \$10,000,000 |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$730,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$10,730,000 |

Upper San Joaquin Regional River Flood Management Plan System Improvement Cost Estimate Summary System Improvement - 25

| | Engineering & | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Improvement | Admin | Construction | Contingencies | Property | Environmental | Improvement Total |
| Lake | \$7,666,000 | \$516,000 | \$26,823,000 | \$1,054,000 | \$5,330,000 | \$99,330,000 |
| | \$5,162,000 | \$27,664,000 | | | | |
| | | \$13,317,000 | | | | |
| | | \$10,741,000 | | | | |
| | | \$799,000 | | | | |
| | | \$258,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel I | \$2,287,000 | \$129,000 | \$9,707,000 | \$4,625,000 | \$2,380,000 | \$36,380,000 |
| | \$1,515,000 | \$11,359,000 | | | | |
| | | \$77,000 | | | | |
| | | \$1,056,000 | | | | |
| | | \$3,245,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel II | \$46,000 | \$77,000 | \$211,000 | \$92,000 | \$50,000 | \$780,000 |
| | \$46,000 | \$258,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel III | \$3,400,000 | \$2,808,000 | \$14,893,000 | \$8,242,000 | \$3,520,000 | \$55,720,000 |
| | \$2,225,000 | \$1,855,000 | | | | |
| | | \$10,303,000 | | | | |
| | | \$618,000 | | | | |
| | | \$4,971,000 | | | | |
| | | \$2,885,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel IV | \$711,000 | \$3,271,000 | \$2,850,000 | \$1,076,000 | \$730,000 | \$10,730,000 |
| | \$495,000 | \$1,082,000 | | | | |
| | | \$515,000 | | | | |
| Totals | \$23,553,000 | \$97,804,000 | \$54,484,000 | \$15,089,000 | \$12,010,000 | \$202,940,000 |
| | | | | | | |

Upper San Joaquin Regional River Flood Management Plan System Improvement Mariposa Reservoir Enlargement and Downstream Levee and Channel Improvements

113

2.16

374

Oct. 1969

Oct. 1977

Oct. 2013

- USACE Design Memo 1969 - see page 42 for cost table (deferred in 1979 memo) (reference 2) - ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html

- Large impacted area - environmental costs 10% of construction

ENR Factor, current date - composite index

ENR Baseline adjustment factor

ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index

| | | Inflation Ratio 7.14 | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Description | unit price | applied contingency | price w/o C&I | rounded/inflated unit price |
| 1 | Lands and Damages | \$505,000 | 3. 3. | \$505,000 | \$3,605,000 |
| 2 | Relocations | \$200,000 | | \$200,000 | \$1,428,000 |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | \$2,027,000 | | \$2,027,000 | \$14,470,000 |
| 4 | Spillway | \$655,000 | NA | \$655,000 | \$4,676,000 |
| 5 | Outlet Works | \$1,490,000 | | \$1,490,000 | \$10,637,000 |
| 6 | Fish and Wildlife Facilities | \$15,000 | | \$15,000 | \$107,000 |
| 7 | Roads | \$10,000 | | \$10,000 | \$71,000 |
| 8 | Recreation Facilities | \$455,000 | | \$455,000 | \$3,248,000 |
| 9 | Operation Facilities | \$5,000 | | \$5,000 | \$36,000 |
| 10 | Engineering and Design | \$695,000 | | \$695,000 | \$4,961,000 |
| 11 | Supervision and Administration | \$450,000 | | \$450,000 | \$3,212,000 |

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Lands and Damages | | lump sum (ls) | \$3,605,000 | \$3,605,000 | |
| 2 | Relocations | | ls | \$1,428,000 | \$1,428,000 | |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | | ls | \$14,470,000 | \$14,470,000 | |
| 4 | Spillway | | ls | \$4,676,000 | \$4,676,000 | |
| 5 | Outlet Works | | ls | \$10,637,000 | \$10,637,000 | |
| 6 | Fish and Wildlife Facilities | | ls | \$107,000 | \$107,000 | |
| 7 | Roads | | ls | \$71,000 | \$71,000 | |
| 8 | Recreation Facilities | | ls | \$3,248,000 | \$3,248,000 | |
| 9 | Operation Facilities | | ls | \$36,000 | \$36,000 | |
| 10 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$4,961,000 | \$4,961,000 | |
| 11 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$3,212,000 | \$3,212,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | | |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$68,500,000 | |

System Improvement - 26

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo 1969 - see page 43 (reference 2)
- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html
- In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| | Inflation Ratio | 7.14 | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Baseline adjustment factor | | 2.16 | Oct. 1977 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - com | posite index | 113 | Oct. 1969 |
| | | | |

| | Description | | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Channels | \$8,000 | | \$8,000 | \$57,000 |
| 2 | Levees | \$1,580,000 | | \$1,580,000 | \$11,279,000 |
| 3 | Permanent Operating Equipment | \$7,000 | | \$7,000 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | Engineering and Design | \$360,000 | NA | \$360,000 | \$2,570,000 |
| 5 | Supervision and Administration | \$230,000 | | \$230,000 | \$1,642,000 |
| 6 | Lands | \$380,000 | | \$380,000 | \$2,713,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Roads | \$505,000 | | \$505,000 | \$3,605,000 |
| 8 | Relocate Utilities | \$460,000 | | \$460,000 | \$3,284,000 |

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | Channels | | lump sum (ls) | \$57,000 | \$57,000 |
| 2 | Levees | | ls | \$11,279,000 | \$11,279,000 |
| 3 | Permanent Operating Equipment | | ls | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$2,570,000 | \$2,570,000 |
| 5 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$1,642,000 | \$1,642,000 |
| 6 | Lands | | ls | \$2,713,000 | \$2,713,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$3,605,000 | \$3,605,000 |
| 8 | Relocate Utilities | | ls | \$3,284,000 | \$3,284,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$25,200,000 |
| | | \$10,100,000 | | | |
| | | \$35,300,000 | | | |
| | | \$2,700,000 | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$38,000,000 |

Mariposa Reservoir Enlargement and Downstream Levee and Channel Improvements - Deadman Creek Channel Improvements

System Improvement - 26

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo 1969 - see page 43 (reference 2)

- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html

- In-channel project - environmental cost 15% of construction

| Inflation Ratio | 7.14 | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Baseline adjustment factor | 2.16 | Oct. 1977 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 113 | Oct. 1969 |

| | Description | unit prico | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Channels | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | \$714,000 |
| 2 | Levees | \$155,000 | | \$155,000 | \$1,106,000 |
| 3 | Engineering and Design | \$62,000 | NA | \$62,000 | \$443,000 |
| 4 | Supervision and Administration | \$38,000 | | \$38,000 | \$271,000 |
| 5 | Lands and Damages | \$40,000 | | \$40,000 | \$286,000 |
| 6 | Relocate Roads | \$65,000 | | \$65,000 | \$464,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Utilities | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 | \$714,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Channels | | lump sum (ls) | \$714,000 | \$714,000 |
| 2 | Levees | | ls | \$1,106,000 | \$1,106,000 |
| 3 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$443,000 | \$443,000 |
| 4 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$271,000 | \$271,000 |
| 5 | Lands and Damages | | ls | \$286,000 | \$286,000 |
| 6 | Relocate Roads | | ls | \$464,000 | \$464,000 |
| 7 | Relocate Utilities | | ls | \$714,000 | \$714,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$3,998,000 |
| | \$1,602,000 | | | | |
| | \$5,600,000 | | | | |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$400,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$6,000,000 |

Upper San Joaquin Regional River Flood Management Plan System Improvement Cost Estimate Summary System Improvement - 26

| Improvement | Engineering & Admin | Construction | Contingencies | Property | Environmental | Improvement Total |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Lake | \$4,961,000 | \$1,428,000 | \$18,549,000 | \$3,605,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$68,500,000 |
| | \$3,212,000 | \$14,470,000 | | | | |
| | | \$4,676,000 | | | | |
| | | \$10,637,000 | | | | |
| | | \$107,000 | | | | |
| | | \$71,000 | | | | |
| | | \$3,248,000 | | | | |
| | | \$36,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel I | \$2,570,000 | \$57,000 | \$10,100,000 | \$2,713,000 | \$2,700,000 | \$38,000,000 |
| | \$1,642,000 | \$11,279,000 | | | | |
| | | \$50,000 | | | | |
| | | \$3,605,000 | | | | |
| | | \$3,284,000 | | | | |
| Creek Channel II | \$443,000 | \$714,000 | \$1,602,000 | \$286,000 | \$400,000 | \$6,000,000 |
| | \$271,000 | \$1,106,000 | | | | |
| | | \$464,000 | | | | |
| | | \$714,000 | | | | |
| Totals | \$13,099,000 | \$55,946,000 | \$30,251,000 | \$6,604,000 | \$6,600,000 | \$112,500,000 |

Upper San Joaquin Regional River Flood Management Plan System Improvement Owens Reservoir Enlargement and Downstream Levee and Channel Improvements

System Improvement -27

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo 1969 - see page 42 for cost table (deferred in 1979 memo) (reference 2)

- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html

- Large impacted area - environmental costs 10% of construction

| | Inflation Ratio | 7.14 | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------|
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | | 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| ENR Baseline adjustment factor | | 2.16 | Oct. 1977 |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - compo | osite index | 113 | Oct. 1969 |

| | Description | unit price | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | unit price | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Lands and Damages | \$65,000 | | \$65,000 | \$464,000 |
| 2 | Reservoir Preparation | \$3,000 | | \$3,000 | \$21,000 |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | \$90,000 | | \$90,000 | \$642,000 |
| 4 | Spillway | \$95,000 | NA | \$95,000 | \$678,000 |
| 5 | Outlet Works | \$435,000 | | \$435,000 | \$3,105,000 |
| 6 | Operation Facilities | \$5,000 | | \$5,000 | \$36,000 |
| 7 | Engineering and Design | \$90,000 | | \$90,000 | \$642,000 |
| 8 | Supervision and Administration | \$60,000 | | \$60,000 | \$428,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1 | Lands and Damages | | lump sum (ls) | \$464,000 | \$464,000 |
| 2 | Reservoir Preparation | | ls | \$21,000 | \$21,000 |
| 3 | Main Dam and Dikes | | ls | \$642,000 | \$642,000 |
| 4 | Spillway | | ls | \$678,000 | \$678,000 |
| 5 | Outlet Works | | ls | \$3,105,000 | \$3,105,000 |
| 6 | Operation Facilities | | ls | \$36,000 | \$36,000 |
| 7 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$642,000 | \$642,000 |
| 8 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$428,000 | \$428,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | • | Subtotal | \$6,016,000 |
| | | 40% | Contingencies | & Incidentals | \$2,384,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$8,400,000 |
| | | | Environmer | ntal Permitting | \$450,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$8,850,000 |

Upper San Joaquin Regional River Flood Management Plan System Improvement Burns Reservoir Enlargement and Downstream Levee and Channel Improvement

System Improvement - 28

References / Assumptions

- USACE Design Memo - October 1979 - see page FI-94 (reference 1)

- ENR taken from US Bureau of Reclamation - http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/estimate/cost_trend.html

- Large impacted area - environmental costs 10% of construction

| 3.09 | |
|------|---------------------------|
| 374 | Oct. 2013 |
| 121 | Oct. 1979 |
| | 121 374 3.09 |

| | Description | unit prico | applied | price w/o | rounded/inflated |
|----|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Description | | contingency | C&I | unit price |
| 1 | Lands and Damages | \$320,000 | 35% | \$237,037 | \$733,000 |
| 2 | Road Relocation | \$140,000 | 20% | \$116,667 | \$361,000 |
| 3 | Utilities Relocation | \$40,000 | 20% | \$33,333 | \$104,000 |
| 4 | Reservoirs | \$380,000 | 20% | \$316,667 | \$979,000 |
| 5 | Main Dam and Dikes | \$4,540,000 | 20% | \$3,783,333 | \$11,694,000 |
| 6 | Spillway | \$2,140,000 | 20% | \$1,783,333 | \$5,513,000 |
| 7 | Outlet works | \$750,000 | 20% | \$625,000 | \$1,932,000 |
| 8 | Roads | \$40,000 | 20% | \$33,333 | \$104,000 |
| 9 | Equipment Acquisition | \$40,000 | 20% | \$33,333 | \$104,000 |
| 10 | Engineering and Design | \$970,000 | 0% | \$970,000 | \$2,999,000 |
| 11 | Supervision and Administration | \$640,000 | 0% | \$640,000 | \$1,979,000 |

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1 | Lands and Damages | | lump sum (ls) | \$733,000 | \$733,000 |
| 2 | Road Relocation | | ls | \$361,000 | \$361,000 |
| 3 | Utilities Relocation | | ls | \$104,000 | \$104,000 |
| 4 | Reservoirs | | ls | \$979,000 | \$979,000 |
| 5 | Main Dam and Dikes | | ls | \$11,694,000 | \$11,694,000 |
| 6 | Spillway | | ls | \$5,513,000 | \$5,513,000 |
| 7 | Outlet works | | ls | \$1,932,000 | \$1,932,000 |
| 8 | Roads | | ls | \$104,000 | \$104,000 |
| 9 | Equipment Acquisition | | ls | \$104,000 | \$104,000 |
| 10 | Engineering and Design | | ls | \$2,999,000 | \$2,999,000 |
| 11 | Supervision and Administration | | ls | \$1,979,000 | \$1,979,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$26,502,000 |
| 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| | | | | Total | \$37,100,000 |
| | | | Environme | ntal Permitting | \$2,080,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$39,180,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement Black Rascal Creek Flood Control Project

| System Improvement - 31 | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--|
| References / Assumptions | | | |
| Black Rascal Creek Flood Control Project Feasibility study Alternative 4, single basin at site D | Feb-09 |) | |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 337 | Jan. 2009 | |
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 | |
| Inflation Ratio | 1.11 | | |

| | Description | unit prico | inflated | rounded |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Description | unit price | unit price | unit price |
| 1 | Compacted Embankment | \$20 | \$22 | \$22 |
| 2 | Foundation | \$9 | \$10 | \$10 |
| 3 | Concrete | \$600 | \$666 | \$670 |
| 4 | Contingencies | \$5,251,783 | \$5,828,388 | \$5,828,390 |
| 5 | Engineering | \$1,050,357 | \$1,165,678 | \$1,170,000 |
| 6 | Agricultural Land | \$3,250 | \$3,607 | \$3,600 |
| 7 | Orchard Land | \$42,000 | \$46,611 | \$46,600 |
| 8 | Environmental Costs | \$923,554 | \$1,024,953 | \$1,020,000 |

| Item No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Compacted Embankment | 455,916 | cubic yards (cy) | \$22 | \$10,030,152 |
| 2 | Foundation | 136,667 | су | \$10 | \$1,366,670 |
| 3 | Concrete | 259 | су | \$670 | \$173,530 |
| 4 | Agricultural Land | 70 | acres | \$3,600 | \$252,000 |
| 5 | Orchard Land | 282 | acres | \$46,600 | \$13,141,200 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | • | Subtotal | \$24,963,552 |
| | | Con | tingencies & | Incidentals | \$5,828,390 |
| | | | | Total | \$30,790,000 |
| Engineering Costs \$1 | | | | | \$1,170,000 |
| | | | Environm | ental Costs | \$1,020,000 |
| | | | G | Frand Total | \$32,980,000 |

San Joaquin River Levee at Firebaugh Waste Water Treatment Plant

Estimated Material Quantity - Compacted Embankment

System Improvement - 44

A <u>References</u>

- Google Earth KMZ file showing proposed levee alignment

B <u>Assumptions</u>

16' wide crown and 8' height - (assume flood flow @ 5' above levee toe w/ 3' Fb) say 3:1 waterside slopes and 2:1 landside slopes assume 3' overexcavation and recompaction for levee stability

| levee length | 4,344 ft |
|--------------|-----------|
| fill area | 456 sq ft |

C Compacted Embankment Quantities - calculate earthwork with average end area method, assume uniform section throughout

| <u>Station</u> | length | area | avg. area | volume | <u>volume</u> | |
|----------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|--|
| 0+00 | (ft) | (sq ft) 456.00 | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) | |
| | 4,344 | | 456.00 | 1,980,864 | 73,365 | |
| 43+44 | | 456.00 | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | |

| Total | 73,365 cu yd |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Rounded total | 73,000 cu yd |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement San Joaquin River Levee at Firebaugh Waste Water Treatment Plant

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 44

- Engineering geotechnical investigation, design, bidding, comp testing
- Google Earth KMZ file showing proposed levee alignment
- No land costs levee to be built on City property
- 16' wide crown and 8' height
- 3:1 waterside slopes and 2:1 landside slopes
- 3' overexcavation and recompaction for levee stability
- 1 mile long linear footprint next to river 15% environmental costs
- Engineering geotechnical, design, bidding, comp testing

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Furnish and Install Compacted Embankment | 73,000 | cubic yards | \$10.00 | \$730,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | \$730,000 |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies 8 | Incidentals | \$370,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | \$1,100,000 |
| | | \$110,000 | | | |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | | \$70,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$1,280,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement San Joaquin River Bank Stabilization at Firebaugh

| System Improvement - 45 | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------|-------------|
| References / Assumptions | | | |
| - San Joaquin River Bank Restoration Project Article | | Clark Bros. | Fall 2009 |
| http://www.clarkbrosinc.com/documents/Firebaugh_San_Joaquin.pdf Phone Conversation with City of Firebaugh Engineer Article referenced above states construction costs were \$960.000 | | total project costs: | \$1,200,000 |
| - Previous construction length, approximately | 35 | 0 ft | |
| - Proposed construction zone, approximately | 27 | 5 ft | |
| Project length is comparable to the previous project. Stream bed alteration - 20% environmental costs Engineering - geotechnical, design, bidding | | | |
| ENR Factor for study/cost estimate - composite index | 329 | Oct. 2009 | |
| ENR Factor, current date - composite index | 374 | Oct. 2013 | |
| Inflation Ratio | 1.14 | | |
| | | inflated rounded | |

| Description | unit price | innated | rounded |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| | unit price | unit price | unit price |
| Construction Costs | \$960,000 | \$1,091,307 | \$1,090,000 |

1

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| 1 | Construction Costs | | Lump sum | \$1,090,000 | \$1,090,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$1,090,000 |
| | | 40% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | |
| | | 1 | otal Constru | uction Costs | \$1,500,000 |
| | | E | nvironmenta | \$220,000 | |
| | | | | \$80,000 | |
| | | | (| Grand Total | \$1,800,000 |

San Joaquin River Levee at Firebaugh Rodeo Grounds

Estimated Material Quantity - Compacted Embankment

| | | Eoumatoa m | atomai quama | ij eempaete | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| System In | nprovement - 4 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| A | A <u>References</u> - Google Earth KMZ file showing proposed levee alignment | | | | | | | | |
| В | Assumptions | | | | | | | | |
| | build new levee 16' wide crown and 8' height - (assume flood flow @ 5' above levee toe w/ 3' Fb) say 3:1 waterside slopes and 2:1 landside slopes assume 3' over excavation and recompaction for levee stability | | | | | | | | |
| | levee length fill area | | 4,927 456 | ft sq ft | | | | | |
| С | Compacted E - calculate ea | mbankment (rthwork with a | Quantities average end a | irea method, a | assume uniform | n section throughout | | | |
| | Station | <u>length</u> (ft) | <u>area</u> (sq ft) | <u>avg. area</u> (sq ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu ft) | <u>volume</u> (cu yd) | | | |
| | 0+00 49+27 | 4,927 | 456.00 456.00 | 456.00 | 2,246,712 | 83,212 | | | |
| | 70121 | | -00.00 | R | Total ounded total | 83,212 cu yd 83,000 cu yd | | | |

San Joaquin River Levee at Firebaugh Rodeo Grounds

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 46

References / Assumptions

- Google Earth KMZ file showing proposed levee alignment

- No land costs levee to be built on City property
- 16' wide crown and 8' height
- 3:1 waterside slopes and 2:1 landside slopes
- 3' overexcavation and recompaction for levee stability
- 1 mile long linear footprint next to river 15% environmental costs
- Engineering geotechnical, design, bidding, comp testing

| Item | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Furnish and Install Compacted Embankment | 83,000 | cubic yards | \$10.00 | \$830,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$830,000 |
| | | 50% Con | tingencies & | k Incidentals | \$420,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | \$1,250,000 | |
| Environmental Permitting | | | | | \$120,000 |
| 10% of Subtotal for Engineering Costs | | | | \$80,000 | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$1,450,000 |

Modify Water Intake Structures at Selected Refuge Units

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 60

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo

- Listing of culvert sizes in Project O&M manual shows mostly 24", some 30", 36" & 48" culverts

- Bid prices for 24" canal gates

- Bid prices for typical galvanized steel gate access walkway

- Bid prices for rip rap on canal bank
- Self mitigating project, Flood Board permitting required
- Engineering site evaluations, standard design, bidding

| Item | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Remove existing flap gate, Furnish and Install (F&I) Canal gate | 40 | each | \$7,000 | \$280,000 |
| 2 | F&I Metal access walkway | 40 | each | \$12,000 | \$480,000 |
| 3 | F&I Rip rap slope protection | 40,000 | square feet | \$5 | \$200,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$960,000 |
| | | 50% Cor | ntingencies & | & Incidentals | \$480,000 |
| | | | | Total | \$1,440,000 |
| Permitting | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$1,540,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Install lift pumps to divert water onto 1000 acres of wetland basins during flood flows

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 61

References / Assumptions

- F&W memo
- Inlet channel, say 10' bottom, 6' deep
- Self mitigating project, Flood Board permitting required
- Engineering surveying, design, bidding

Project A

| ltem No | Description | Quantity | Linit | Linit Price | Amount |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| 110. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Flice | Amount |
| 1 | Excavate pump inlet channel | 400 | linear feet | \$35 | \$14,000 |
| 2 | Furnish and Install (F&I) Pump sump structure | | lump sum | | \$85,000 |
| 3 | F&I 125 HP pump unit | 1 | each | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | F&I Electrical work | | lump sum | | \$105,000 |
| 5 | F&I 30" Steel discharge pipe | 150 | linear feet | \$200 | \$30,000 |
| 6 | F&I Miscellaneous site work | | lump sum | | \$30,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$314,000 |
| | | 50% Co | ntingencies | & Incidentals | \$156,000 |
| Total | | | | | |
| Permitting | | | | | \$50,000 |
| Engineering | | | | | \$50,000 |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$570,000 |

Upper San Joaquin River Regional Flood Management Plan System Improvement San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Install lift pumps to divert water onto 1000 acres of wetland basins during flood flows

Estimate of Cost

| Project | В | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Furnish and Install (F&I) 48" Inlet pipe | 150 | linear feet | \$300 | \$45,000 |
| 2 | F&I Pump sump structure | | lump sum | | \$85,000 |
| 3 | F&I 125 HP pump unit | 1 | each | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 4 | F&I Electrical work | | lump sum | | \$105,000 |
| 5 | F&I 30" Steel discharge pipe | 200 | linear feet | \$200 | \$40,000 |
| 6 | F&I Discharge valves | | lump sum | | \$40,000 |
| 7 | F&I Miscellaneous site work | | lump sum | | \$30,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Subtotal | \$395,000 |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | \$590,000 |
| Permitting | | | | | |
| Engineering | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | \$690,000 |

Total Cost of Projects A & B

Construction\$709,000Contingencies & Incidentals\$351,000Total\$1,060,000Permitting\$100,000Engineering\$100,000Grand Total\$1,260,000
San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Restore a wetland swale to divert floodwaters onto 1000 acres of wetland basins during flood flows

Estimated Material Quantity - excavated material

| System I A | nprovement - 62 <u>References</u> - Google Earth KMZ file | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| | - F&W memo | | | | | | | |
| В | Assumptions swale to be 150' avg width at top excavate 5' deep with 8:1 side slopes spread spoil adjacent to swale | | | | | | | |
| С | Excavation Quantities - calculate earthwork, assume uniform section throughout | | | | | | | |
| | top width (est | imated) | 150 | ft | | | | |
| | side slopes | | 8:1 | | | | | |
| | deptn | | 1.5 ft | | | | | |
| | excavation ar | 2 2 | 120 IL 207 sa ft | | | | | |
| | lengths | | 3600 ft | | | | | |
| | longino | | 1400 1500 800 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1000 | _ | | | | |
| | | total | 8300 | _ | | | | |
| | Station | <u>length</u> | <u>area</u> | avg. area | <u>volume</u> | <u>volume</u> | | |
| | | (ft) | (sq ft) | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) | | |
| | 0+00 | | 207.00 | | | | | |
| | | 8,300 | 007.00 | 207.00 | 1,718,100 | 63,633 | | |
| | 83+00 | | 207.00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total | 63,633 cu vd | | |
| | | | | r | ounded total | 64,000 cu yd | | |

San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Restore a wetland swale to divert floodwaters onto 1000 acres of wetland basins during flood flows

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 62

References / Assumptions

- Google Earth KMZ file

- F&W memo

- Swale to be 150' avg width at top
- Excavate 5' deep with 8:1 side slopes
- Engineering costs, topo survey, grading plan, say \$40k
- Self mitigating, no Flood Board permit, say \$10k

| ltem No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| 1 | Excavate and spread spoil adjacent to swale | 64,000 | cubic yards | \$3.00 | \$192,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | \$98,000 |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | |
| Environmental Compliance | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | \$40,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | \$340,000 |

San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Enhance existing wetland depth and configuration to provide additional habitat and flood water storage on approximately 500 acres of wetland basins

Estimated Material Quantity - excavated material

System Improvement - 63

| Α | <u>References</u> - Google Eart <u>Autocadidwgsw</u> - F&W memo | h KMZ file IISC\LowerSJLeveeDist\RF | MP Map Data\Project 64 \$ | San Luis NWR Enhance We | etland Depth | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|
| В | <u>Assumptions</u> basin to be 1000' avg width at top excavate benches on each side of existing channel lower benchs: ex 2'x175', upper benches: ex 1'x250' spread spoil adjacent to swale | | | | | | | | |
| С | Excavation Q | uantities | | | | | | | |
| | - calculate ea | - calculate earthwork, assume uniform section throughout | | | | | | | |
| | lower bench width | | 175 | ft | | | | | |
| | lower bench depth | | 2 | ft | | | | | |
| | upper bench width upper bench depth | | 250 ft 1 ft | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | excavation ar | ea | 1200 sq ft | | | | | | |
| | iengins | | 3000 | ft | | | | | |
| | | totol | 2500 | | | | | | |
| | | total | 5500 | It | | | | | |
| | Station | length | area | avg. area | volume | volume | | | |
| | | (ft) | (sq ft) | (sq ft) | (cu ft) | (cu yd) | | | |
| | 0+00 | | 1200.00 | | | | | | |
| | | 5,500 | | 1,200.00 | 6,600,000 | 244,444 | | | |
| | 55+00 | | 1,200.00 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total | 244,444 cu | | | |

| Total | 244,444 | cu yd |
|---------------|---------|-------|
| rounded total | 244,000 | cu yd |

San Luis NWR East Bear Creek Unit

Enhance existing wetland depth and configuration to provide additional habitat and flood water storage on approximately 500 acres of wetland basins

Estimate of Cost

System Improvement - 63

References / Assumptions

- Google Earth KMZ file

- F&W memo

- Basin to be 1000' avg width at top

- Excavate benches on each side of existing channel
- Lower benchs: ex 2'x175', upper benches: ex 1'x250'
- Spread spoil adjacent to swale
- Engineering costs, topo survey, grading plan, say \$40k
- Self mitigating, no Flood Board permit, say \$10k

| Item | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|-------------|
| No. | Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Amount |
| 1 | Excavate and spread spoil adjacent to basin area | 244,000 | cubic yards | \$3.00 | \$732,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | | | | |
| 50% Contingencies & Incidentals | | | | | |
| Total Construction Costs | | | | | |
| Environmental Compliance | | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering Costs | | | | | \$40,000 |
| Grand Total | | | | | \$1,150,000 |